

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5772
09/761,995		01/17/2001	Yuhpyng L. Chen	PC10759A	
23913	7590	02/26/2002			
PFIZER I			EXAMINER		
150 EAST 42ND STREET 5TH FLOOR - STOP 49				TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO	
NEW YOR	NEW YORK, NY 10017-5612			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1624	1
				DATE MAILED: 02/26/2002	U

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/761,995	CHEN, YUHPYNG L.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	
			Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Tamthom N. Truon	
Period fo		rappears on the cover s	meet with the correspondence address
THE   - Exterior after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, howeven. a reply within the statutory minimeriod will apply and will expire SIX statute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ( (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-fina	ıl.
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur ion of Claims		nal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from considerati	on.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and	d/or election requiremen	ıt.
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🗌 :	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) dobjected	to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved	b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action	n.
12) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been receive	ed.
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been receive	ed in Application No
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	
		·	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application
a	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	e provisional application	has been received.
Attachment	t(s)		
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  btice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  her:
I.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-22 (in part), drawn to compounds, compositions, and methods of treatment using formula I wherein A is CR<sub>7</sub>, classified in class 546, subclass 192+.
  - II. Claims 1-7, and 9-22 (in part), drawn to compounds, compositions, and methods of treatment using formula I wherein A is N, classified in class 544, subclasses 297, 298+.

1

- III. Claims 1-7, and 9-22 (in part), drawn to compounds, compositions, and methods of treatment using formula II wherein A is N, classified in class 544, subclass 280+.
- IV. Claims 1-7, and 9-22 (in part), drawn to compounds, compositions, and methods of treatment using formula II wherein A is CR<sub>7</sub>, classified in class 546, subclasses 112, 113+.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,995

Art Unit: 1624

- V. Claims 1-7, and 9-22 (in part), drawn to compounds, compositions, and methods of treatment using formula III wherein A is N, classified in class 544, subclasses 264, 265.
- VI. Claims 1-7, and 9-22 (in part), drawn to compounds, compositions, and methods

  of treatment using formula wherein A is CR<sub>7</sub>, classified in class 546, subclass

  256 + 118+.

The inventions of groups I - VI differ from each other because each group is drawn to a ring system that is distinct and patentable over each other. Essentially, these are six independent inventions as compounds of one group can be utilized alone, and not in combination of those in other groups. Note, with a variable core such as formulae I, II, and III, the common property is not enough to keep six groups in the same Markush claim. Furthermore, a prior art that renders obvious one invention would not do so to the other. Thus, restriction for examination purpose as indicated is proper. However, should applicant traverse on the ground that the six groups are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the six groups to be obvious variant or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the invention unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,995

Art Unit: 1624

2. A telephone call was made to Ms. Kristine Konstas on 2-6-02 to request an oral election

to the above restriction requirement, but a written restriction is preferred.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamthom N. Truong whose telephone number is 703-305-4485. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Tamthom N. Truong

Page 4

Examiner

Art Unit 1624

\*\*\*